

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/465,514	12/16/1999	HENRY M. GLADNEY	A7254	8969	
7	590 07/15/2005	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W			HA, LEYNNA A		
	N, DC 200373213	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2135		
•			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

47

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/465,514	GLADNEY, HENRY M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LEYNNA T. HA	2135		

	LEYNNA T. HA	2135						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be athe non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) -how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explan a tion o f					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-42 remains rejected in view of Garg, et al. The examiner is only required to give the broadest reasonable interpretations to the claimed language. Independent claims merely claims a first storage for storing objects where Garg teaches any of the following storages such as hard disk drive, nonvolatile storage, RAM, ROMs (col.5, lines 15-31), and a file system manager (col.7, lines 21-25) that are able to store objects therein. Garg discloses a second storage that stores the GUIDs in the object manager (col.6, line 60-col.7, line 4).

The GUIDs of Garg are unique that distinguishes it from the other objects (col.6, line 65-col.7, line 6). GUIDs are inherently unique identifiers across all computers and networks. GUIDs are "global unique identifiers" where these identifiers are unique within, outside, or anywhere across all computers and networks in order to distinct from one object or file to another of another storage or another computer. Else, the acronyms does not accurately define itself. Not only are GUIDs defined as globally unique identifiers but are inherently known to be unique across all computers and networks, therefore GUIDs of Garg are unique within and outside of the storage

KIM VU

isory patent examiner NOLOGY CENTER 2100